arXiv:0906.0818v3 [math.AG] 17 Oct 2009

Foliations and Rational Connectedness in Positive Characteristic

Mingmin Shen

Abstract

In this paper, the technique of foliations in characteristic p is used to investigate the difference between rational connectedness and separable rational connectedness in positive characteristic. The notion of being freely rationally connected is defined; a variety is freely rationally connected if a general pair of points can be connected by a free rational curve. It is proved that a freely rationally connected variety admits a finite purely inseparable morphism to a separably rationally connected variety. As an application, a generalized Graber-Harris-Starr type theorem in positive characteristic is proved; namely, if a family of varieties over a smooth curve has the property that its geometric generic fiber is normal and freely rationally connected, then it has a rational section after some Frobenius twisting. We also show that a freely rationally connected variety is simply connected.

Key words: Foliations, rationally connected, formal neighborhoods.
Subject Class: 14B20, 14G15

1 Introduction

Since the work of J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori on rationally connected varieties, it has been widely accepted that varieties with lots of rational curves on them provide a good generalization of projective spaces. We fix k to be an algebraically closed field. In this paper, a variety over k is an integral, separated, finite type k-scheme. We recall the following definitions, c.f.[dJSt], [Kollár].

Definition 1.1. Let X be a quasi-projective variety over k. A *rational curve* on X is a nonconstant morphism $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$. Let $T_X = \mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be the tangent sheaf of X. If the image of ϕ is contained in the smooth locus X^{sm} of X, then we can split $\phi^* T_X$ into direct sum of line bundles

$$\phi^* T_X \cong \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i),$$

where $n = \dim X$. We say that ϕ is *free* if $\mathrm{Im}(\phi) \subset X^{sm}$ and $a_i \geq 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We say that ϕ is *very free* if $\mathrm{Im}(\phi) \subset X^{sm}$ and $a_i \geq 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We say that X is *separably uniruled* if it has a free rational curve and *separably rationally connected* (SRC) if it has a very free rational curve. We say that X is *rationally connected* (RC) if there is a variety Y and a morphism $u : \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y \to X$ such that $u^{(2)} : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y \to X \times X$ is dominant. In this case we also say that a general pair of points on X are connected by a rational curve.

In addition to these, we make the following

Definition 1.2. Let X be as above. We say that X is *freely rationally connected* (FRC), if there is a variety Y and a morphism $u : \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y \to X$ such that $u^{(2)} : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y \to X \times X$ is dominant and in addition, each rational curve parametrized by Y is a free rational curve on X.

In general, SRC implies FRC and FRC implies RC. It turns out that over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, a smooth projective variety is RC if and only if it is SRC. However, these notions are not equivalent in positive characteristic. Namely, in [Kollár] V.5.19, there is an example of a smooth variety in characteristic p, which is FRC but not SRC. In [GHS], T. Graber, J. Harris and J. Starr prove that a rationally connected fibration over a curve admits a section in characteristic 0. In [dJSt], A.J. de Jong and J. Starr generalize this result to characteristic p and the price they pay is that they have to assume that a general fiber is SRC.

In this paper, we use the technique of foliations in positive characteristic to investigate the gap between the above notions. Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. Let X/k be a quasi-projective algebraic variety. Assume that X is freely rationally* *connected. Then there exists a separably rationally connected variety Y and a finite purely inseparable morphism $f : X \to Y$. If X is regular in codimension 1 (or normal), then so is Y.*

We sketch the main idea of the proof. First we use the free rational curves on X to construct a canonical subsheaf $\mathscr{D}$ of T_X. Then we prove that $\mathscr{D}$ is closed under the Lie bracket and taking p^{th} power if the characteristic is p. This will be done in Section 2. This result holds in arbitrary characteristic and only relies on X being separably uniruled. In Section 3, we construct the quotient of X by $\mathscr{D}$ and prove that the quotient is separably uniruled (resp. FRC) if X is so. As a result, if the quotient is not SRC, then we repeat the construction above. In general, it is not guaranteed that this procedure terminates with an SRC variety. In section 4, we investigate the relation between the above procedure and the formal neighborhood of a free rational curve. In particular, we show that if the repeated construction does not terminate with an SRC variety, then the global regular formal functions of the formal neighborhood of a free rational curve form a power series ring. In section 5, we prove the main theorem by showing the fact that the FRC condition will force the quotient procedure to terminate with an SRC variety. Then we give an application of the main theorem to prove the following Graber-Harris-Starr type theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to B$ be a proper flat family over a smooth curve B, here everything is over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p. Assume that the geometric generic fiber of $\mathscr{X} \to B$ is normal and freely rationally connected. Then there is a morphism $s : B \to \mathscr{X}$ such that $\pi \circ s = F^d_{abs,B}$ for some $d \geq 0$, where $F_{abs,B} : B \to B$ is the absolute Frobenius morphism.*

An interesting consequence of the above theorem is

Corollary 1.5. *Let X/k be a proper normal FRC variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p. Then X is simply connected. Namely, the algebraic fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ is trivial.*

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank his advisor, Aise Johan de Jong, without whose careful and patient instruction, the author would not have been able to carry out this research. Many thanks to the referee who pointed out that FRC should imply simply connectedness.

2 The Foliation

In this section we will fix the following notations. Let X/k be a quasi-projective algebraic variety of dimension n over k (of arbitrary characteristic, but we will focus on positive characteristic later). Assume that X is separably uniruled. Then there is a free rational curve $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ such that

$$\phi^* T_X \cong \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i) \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-r},$$

where $a_i > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Definition 2.1. The distinguished subsheaf $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$ of $\phi^* T_X$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{Pos}(\phi^* T_X)$. The *positive rank* of X is defined to be the largest number r such that $r = \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{Pos}(\phi^* T_X))$ for some free rational curve ϕ on X. A free rational curve ϕ is said to be *maximally free* if $\mathrm{Pos}(\phi^* T_X)$ has rank equal to the positive rank r of X. We use $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{m.free}}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ to denote the open subscheme of $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{free}}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ that parametrizes all maximally free rational curves on X. Let U_m be the open subvariety of X defined by the image of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{m.free}}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \to X$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be as above, then for each closed point $x : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to U_m$, there is a well defined subspace $\mathscr{D}(x)$ of $x^* T_X = T_{X,x} \otimes k(x)$ such that for every maximally free rational curve $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ through which x factors as*

$$\mathrm{Spec}(k) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\phi} X$$

we always have $i^* Pos(\phi^* T_X) = \mathscr{D}(x) \subset x^* T_X$.

Proof. Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be two maximally free rational curves with $\phi_1(0) = \phi_2(0) = x$. So we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathrm{Spec}(k) \arrow[dl, "i_1"'] \arrow[d, "x"] \arrow[dr, "i_2"] & \\ C_1 \arrow[r, "\phi_1"'] & X & C_2 \arrow[l, "\phi_2"] \end{tikzcd}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are two $\mathbb{P}^1$'s, with i_1 and i_2 being the inclusions of the two origins. We need to show that $i_1^* \mathrm{Pos}(\phi_1^* T_X) = i_2^* \mathrm{Pos}(\phi_2^* T_X)$ as subspaces of $x^* T_X$. We glue C_1 and C_2 at the origins and get a nodal curve C and a morphism $\phi : C \to X$. We will prove that the deformation of C is unobstructed and a general deformation of C is a free rational curve φ. Assume that $i_1^*\mathrm{Pos}(\phi_1^* T_X) \neq i_2^*\mathrm{Pos}(\phi_2^* T_X)$, then we will show that $\mathrm{Pos}(\varphi^* T_X)$ has rank $> r$ and this is a contradiction.

Let $F : (\mathrm{Sch}/k)^\circ \to (\mathrm{Set})$ be the deformation functor defined in the following way: $F(S)$ consists of isomorphism classes of diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & X \\
S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π is a proper flat family of at worst nodal curves of genus 0 over the scheme S. Hence we can view $\phi : C \to X$ as an element in $F(\mathrm{Spec}(k))$. The cotangent complex of ϕ, L_ϕ^*, is the complex $\{\, 0 \to \phi^*\Omega_{X/k} \to \Omega_{C/k} \to 0 \,\}$ with the $\Omega_{C/k}$ term having degree 0. The first order deformation of ϕ is given by $\mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(L_\phi^*, \mathcal{O}_C)$ and the obstruction space lives in $\mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}^2_{\mathcal{O}_C}(L_\phi^*, \mathcal{O}_C)$, [CKa] [LTi]. The spectral sequence for hyper-extension groups gives the following long exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}^0_{\mathcal{O}_C}(L_\phi^*, \mathcal{O}_C) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^0_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_{C/k}, \mathcal{O}_C) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^0_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\phi^*\Omega_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_C) \\
\arrow[r] & \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(L_\phi^*, \mathcal{O}_C) \arrow[r, "\rho"] & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_{C/k}, \mathcal{O}_C) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\phi^*\Omega_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_C) \\
\arrow[r] & \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}^2_{\mathcal{O}_C}(L_\phi^*, \mathcal{O}_C) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_{C/k}, \mathcal{O}_C) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\phi^*\Omega_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

Lemma 2.3. *([DM]) The following are true:*
(i) $\mathit{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_{C/k}, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$*,*
(ii) $\mathit{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\phi^*\Omega_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_C) = H^1(C, \phi^* T_X) = 0$

From the above lemma and the hyper-extension spectral sequence (1), we get that $\mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}^2_{\mathcal{O}_C}(L_\phi^*, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$ and hence the deformation of ϕ is unobstructed. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d] & \mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & X \\
b_0 \arrow[r] & B &
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a deformation of ϕ over a smooth curve B, i.e. $f \circ i = \phi$.

Lemma 2.4. *We can choose ($\mathscr{C}/B, f$) such that*
(i) a general fiber of π is a smooth rational curve.
(ii) $f|_{\mathscr{C}_b}$ is a free rational curve for general $b \in B(k)$.

To prove (i), we first consider the deformation of C as a k-scheme; the first order deformation is $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_{C/k}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ and the obstruction lives in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_{C/k}, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$. The local-global spectral sequence gives

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathscr{H}om(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C)) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C) \\
\arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathscr{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C)) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(C, \mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C)) = 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathscr{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C))$ classifies first order local deformations and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ classifies all first order (global) deformations of C/k. Hence all local deformations of C/k come from global deformations. By embedding C into $\mathbb{P}^2$ and using the exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2 \arrow[r] & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}|_C \arrow[r] & \Omega_C \arrow[r] & 0\,,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

to do local computation, we find that $\mathscr{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C) = k(P)$ where P is the node of C and it follows that $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathscr{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C)) = k$. Actually, one can compute all the formal local deformations explicitly. Let $R = k[[X, Y]]/(XY)$, all deformations of R/k to $k[\epsilon]$ are given by

$$\{k[\epsilon][[X, Y]]/(XY - \lambda\epsilon) : \lambda \in k\}\,.$$

This means that there exist local deformations that smooth out the node and hence there exist deformations of C/k that smooth out the node. In the sequence (1), the surjectivity of ρ says that all deformations of C/k comes from deformations of $\phi : C/k \to X$ by forgetting the morphism to X. Thus there is a deformation, ($\mathscr{C}/B, f$), of $\phi : C/k \to X$ smoothing out the node of C. This proves (i) of the Lemma.

To prove (ii), we consider the locally free sheaf f^*T_X on $\mathscr{C}$. First, by shrinking B and replacing B by a finite étale cover, we may assume that $\mathscr{C}/B$ has a section σ passing through a smooth point of $C = \mathscr{C}_{b_0}$. So σ

defines a horizontal divisor D on $\mathscr{C}$. Let $\mathscr{E} = f^*T_X(-D)$ and we have the following short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{E}|_C \arrow[r] & j_{1*}(\mathscr{E}|_{C_1}) \oplus j_{2*}(\mathscr{E}|_{C_2}) \arrow[r] & \mathscr{E}(P) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} ,$$

where P is the nodal point of C and $j_1 : C_1 \to C$ and $j_2 : C_2 \to C$ are the inclusions. The associated long exact sequence is

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{H}^0(C_1, \mathscr{E}|_{C_1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(C_2, \mathscr{E}|_{C_2}) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & \mathscr{E}(P) = \mathscr{E}_P \otimes k(P) \arrow[r] & {} \\ \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathscr{E}|_C) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(C_1, \mathscr{E}|_{C_1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(C_2, \mathscr{E}|_{C_2}) = 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

where the last term is 0 since C_1 and C_2 are free and α is surjective since one of $\mathscr{E}|_{C_1}$ and $\mathscr{E}|_{C_2}$ is globally generated. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathscr{E}|_C) = 0$. By semicontinuity theorem [Hart] III.12, we get $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{C}_b, \mathscr{E}|_{\mathscr{C}_b}) = 0$ for general $b \in B(k)$. Since $\mathscr{E}|_{\mathscr{C}_b} = f_b^*T_X(-1)$, we know that f_b is free and this proves (ii).

Now we are ready to prove that for a general deformation $f_b : \mathscr{C}_b \to X$, the positive part of $f_b^*T_X$ has rank $> r$ under the assumption that $i_1^*\mathrm{Pos}(\phi_1^*T_X) \neq i_2^*\mathrm{Pos}(\phi_2^*T_X)$. We may assume that $\mathscr{C}/B$ has two horizontal divisors D_1 and D_2 corresponding to sections σ_i passing through a point of C_i not equal to P. Let $\mathscr{E} = f^*T_X(-D_1 - D_2)$, $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{E}|_{\mathscr{C}_{b_0}}$, $\mathscr{F}_1 = \mathscr{F}|_{C_1}$ and $\mathscr{F}_2 = \mathscr{F}|_{C_2}$, then we have the following short exact sequence,

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{F} \arrow[r] & i_{1*}\mathscr{F}_1 \oplus i_{2*}\mathscr{F}_2 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{F}(P) = \mathscr{F}_P \otimes k(P) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} .$$

The induced long exact sequence is

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathscr{F}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(C_1, \mathscr{F}_1) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(C_2, \mathscr{F}_2) & . \\ {} \arrow[r, "\beta"] & T_X(P) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathscr{F}) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $\mathrm{Im}(\beta)$ is the subspace spanned by $\mathrm{Pos}(\phi_1^*T_X)(P)$ and $\mathrm{Pos}(\phi_2^*T_X)(P)$, which has dimension $> r$ by assumption. It follows that $\dim \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathscr{F}) = n - \dim(\mathrm{Im}(\beta)) < n - r$. By semicontinuity again, we have $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{C}_b, \mathscr{E}|_{\mathscr{C}_b}) < n - r$. Since $\mathscr{E}|_{\mathscr{C}_b} = f_b^*T_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)$ by construction, the positive part of $f_b^*T_X$ has rank greater than r. $\square$

This proposition implies that we can define $\mathscr{D}(x)$, for each point $x \in U_m(k)$, to be the subspace $i^*\mathrm{Pos}(\phi^* T_X)$ of $x^* T_X$, where $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ is a maximally free rational curve with $\phi(0) = x$, and $i : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the inclusion of the origin.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[dr, "\phi"] & \\
\mathrm{Spec}(k) \arrow[ur, "i"] \arrow[rr, "x"] & & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

Next, we will use faithfully flat descent technique to glue all the $\mathscr{D}(x)$ together to get a sub-bundle of T_X on some open set.

Proposition 2.5. *There is a nonempty open subset $\tilde{U} \subset U_m$ such that $\{\mathscr{D}(x) : x \in \tilde{U}(k)\}$ glue together to give a subbundle $\mathscr{D}$ of $T_X|_{\tilde{U}}$, i.e. $x^*\mathscr{D} = \mathscr{D}(x)$, for all $x \in \tilde{U}(k)$.*

Proof. It is known that the universal morphism $F : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{m.free}}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \to X$ is smooth, [Kollár] II.3. Take a connected open subset $W \subset \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{m.free}}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. Consider the smooth morphism $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times W \to X$, in particular, π is flat. By shrinking W, we may assume that $\pi^* T_X$ splits uniformly as $\pi^* T_X = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{O}(a_i) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{n-r}$ where $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_W}$ and $a_i > 0$. Denote the distinguished sub-bundle $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}(a_i)$ of $\pi^* T_X$ by $\mathscr{V}$. Let $\tilde{U} \subset X$ be the image of π. By construction, we have $\tilde{U} \subset U_m$. Then $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times W \to \tilde{U}$ is faithfully flat. Next, we want to construct descent data on $\mathscr{V}$ from that of $\pi^* T_X$. To do this, we denote $\mathbb{P}^1 \times W$ by Z; $Z \times_\pi Z$ by $Z^{(2)}$ with projections p_1 and p_2; $Z \times_\pi Z \times_\pi Z$ by $Z^{(3)}$ with projections p_{ij}, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Let $\pi_1 = \pi : Z \to \tilde{U}$, $\pi_2 : Z^{(2)} \to \tilde{U}$ and $\pi_3 : Z^{(3)} \to \tilde{U}$ be the obvious morphisms. First, we want to construct an isomorphism $\varphi : p_1^*\mathscr{V} \to p_2^*\mathscr{V}$ in the following way. Note that both $p_1^*\mathscr{V}$ and $p_2^*\mathscr{V}$ can be viewed as sub-bundles of $\pi_2^* T_X$ that are local direct summands and by construction of $\mathscr{V}$ and $\{\mathscr{D}(x)\}$, we have

$$p_1^*\mathscr{V} \otimes k(z) = p_2^*\mathscr{V} \otimes k(z), \quad \text{for all closed points } z \in \tilde{Z}^{(2)} \tag{3}$$

as subspaces of $\pi_2^* T_X \otimes k(z)$. Since $Z^{(2)}$ is smooth and hence reduced, the condition (3) implies that $p_1^*\mathscr{V} = p_2^*\mathscr{V}$ as sub-bundles of $\pi_2^* T_X$ by Hilbert Nullstellensatz([Hart], I.1). We define φ to be the identification. Our next step is to show that this isomorphism φ satisfies the cocycle condition, c.f. [BLR] Chapter 6,

$$p_{13}^*\varphi = p_{23}^*\varphi \circ p_{12}^*\varphi \tag{4}$$

To be more precise, we need to show that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p_{12}^*p_1^*\mathscr{V} \arrow[r, "p_{12}^*\varphi"] \arrow[d, "id"] & p_{12}^*p_2^*\mathscr{V} \arrow[r, "id"] & p_{23}^*p_1^*\mathscr{V} \arrow[r, "p_{23}^*\varphi"] & p_{23}^*p_2^*\mathscr{V} \arrow[d, "id"] \\
p_{13}^*p_1^*\mathscr{V} \arrow[rrr, "p_{13}^*\varphi"] & & & p_{13}^*p_2^*\mathscr{V}
\end{tikzcd}$$

All the above terms, as sub-bundles of $\pi_3^*T_X$, are the same. Note that $p_{13}^*\varphi - p_{23}^*\varphi \circ p_{12}^*\varphi$, as a morphism from $p_{13}^*p_1^*\mathscr{V}$ to $p_{13}^*p_2^*\mathscr{V}$, reduces to 0 on fibers and hence is 0 by Hilbert Nullstellensatz. This shows the cocycle condition. By construction, the inclusion $\mathscr{V} \hookrightarrow \pi^*T_X$ is compatible with the descent data constructed on $\mathscr{V}$ and the canonical one on π^*T_X. Hence by faithfully flat descent we conclude that there is a sub-bundle $\mathscr{D}$ of $T_X|_{\tilde{U}}$ with $\mathscr{V} = \pi^*\mathscr{D} \hookrightarrow \pi^*T_X$. $\square$

Remark: In general, let X be a noetherian scheme and $\mathscr{E}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{O}_X$-module. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a submodule of $\mathscr{E}|_U$ where $U \subset X$ is a dense open subscheme. Then it is a standard fact that there is a maximal submodule $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}$ of $\mathscr{E}$ which extends $\mathscr{F}$. Actually, we can define $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}$ by $\Gamma(V, \tilde{\mathscr{F}}) = \{s \in \Gamma(V, \mathscr{E}) : s|_{V\cap U} \in \Gamma(V \cap U, \mathscr{F})\}$. In addition, if X is integral and $\mathscr{F} \subset \mathscr{E}|_U$ is saturated, then $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}$ is a saturated submodule of $\mathscr{E}$ that extends $\mathscr{F}$. Hence, in our situation, there is a canonically defined saturated subsheaf, still denoted by $\mathscr{D}$, of T_X whose restriction to $\tilde{U}$ is the subbundle constructed in the above proposition. From now on, we will always use $\mathscr{D}$ or $\mathscr{D}_X$ to denote this canonical subsheaf of T_X.

Proposition 2.6. *The subsheaf $\mathscr{D}$ satisfies the following two properties.*
(i) $[\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{D}] \subseteq \mathscr{D}$, i.e. $\mathscr{D}$ is closed under the Lie Bracket.
(ii) $\mathscr{D}^p \subseteq \mathscr{D}$, i.e. $\mathscr{D}$ is closed under taking p^{th} power if k is of characteristic p.

Proof. We use the same notions as in the previous proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{D} \otimes_k \mathscr{D} \arrow[r, "{[-,-]}"] \arrow[dr] & T_X \arrow[r] & T_X/\mathscr{D}\ , \\
& \mathscr{D} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathscr{D} \arrow[ur, "\rho"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ρ is $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear. Pullback ρ by the faithfully flat map π we get

$$\pi^*\rho : \pi^*(\mathscr{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathscr{D}) = \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathcal{O}(a_i + a_j) \to \pi^*(T_X/\mathscr{D}) = \mathcal{O}^{n-r}$$

Since $a_i > 0$, we know that $\pi^*\rho = 0$ and hence $\rho|_{\tilde{U}} = 0$. Since $T_X/\mathscr{D}$ is torsion free, we get $\rho = 0$. This proves (i). Now assume that k is of characteristic p and consider the following composition ϱ

$$\varrho : \begin{tikzcd} \mathscr{D} \arrow[r, "(-)^p"] & T_X \arrow[r] & T_X/\mathscr{D} \end{tikzcd} .$$

It is known that ϱ is p-linear, i.e. $\varrho(fD) = f^p\varrho(D)$ where $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$, if $\mathscr{D}$ is closed under Lie bracket, [Katz]. This defines an $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear morphism $\varrho' = 1 \otimes \varrho : F^*_{\text{abs},X}\mathscr{D} \to T_X/\mathscr{D}$, where $F_{\text{abs},X}$ is the absolute Frobenius morphism of X. If we pull the diagram back via π and note that $\pi^*F^*_{\text{abs},X}\mathscr{D} = F^*_{\text{abs},Z}\pi^*\mathscr{D}$ and $F^*_{\text{abs},Z}\mathcal{O}(a) = \mathcal{O}(pa)$, we get

$$\pi^*\varrho' : \pi^*(F^*_{\text{abs},X}\mathscr{D}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}(pa_i) \to \pi^*(T_X/\mathscr{D}) = \mathcal{O}^{n-r}.$$

Then $\pi^*\varrho'$ has to be 0 and hence $\varrho' = 0$. This proves (ii). $\square$

3 The Quotient

In this section, we assume that k is of characteristic p. Let X/k be a separably uniruled variety. Let r be the positive rank of X. We apply the results from the previous section and define the foliation $\mathscr{D}$ on X. We define a sheaf of k-algebras $\mathscr{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ by

$$\Gamma(V, \mathscr{A}) = \{f \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_X) | Df = 0, \forall D \in \Gamma(V_0, \mathscr{D}), \forall \text{ open } V_0 \subset V\}$$

Note that we have the following inclusions

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "(-)^p"] & \mathscr{A} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X \end{tikzcd} .$$

In this way, $\mathscr{A}$ can be viewed as a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras. We define $Y := \mathbf{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathscr{A})$. If we define the relative Frobenius morphism $F_{X/k} : X \to X^{(1)}$

in the following way,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[dr] \arrow[drr, "F_{\mathrm{abs},X}"] \arrow[ddr] & & \\
& X^{(1)} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d] \\
& \mathrm{Spec}(k) \arrow[r, "F_{\mathrm{abs},k}"] & \mathrm{Spec}(k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

then we have the natural morphisms

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} X^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} X \tag{5}$$

where f and g are k-linear and $g \circ f = F_{X/k}$. We need the following

Proposition 3.1 ([Eke], [Miy])**.** *Let X and Y be as above, then*
(i) On any open part U where $\mathscr{D}$ is a subbundle, Y is smooth; for each closed point $x \in U$, there are formal coordinates $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$ such that formally locally f is given by $(t_1, \ldots, t_r, t_{r+1}, \ldots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1^p, \ldots, t_r^p, t_{r+1}, \ldots, t_n)$ and $\hat{\mathscr{D}}_x$ is freely generated by $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\}$. In particular, f is faithfully flat on U.
(ii) There is a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{D} \longrightarrow T_X \xrightarrow{df} f^*T_Y \xrightarrow{f^*\alpha} F^*_{abs,X}\mathscr{D} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6}$$

where α is induced by dg.
(iii) Let X be a normal variety. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the foliations $\mathscr{D}$ on X and the normal varieties Y between X and $X^{(1)}$.

Corollary 3.2. *If X is regular in codimension 1, then so is Y. If X is normal, then so is Y.*

Now let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ be a maximally free rational curve on X. We have $d\phi : T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \to \phi^*T_X$ is nonzero and $T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \mathcal{O}(2)$, hence $d\phi(T_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \subset \mathrm{Pos}(\phi^*T_X)$. Thus $d(f \circ \phi) = 0$, and hence $f \circ \phi$ factors through the relative Frobenius of $\mathbb{P}^1$, i.e.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & X^{(1)} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & X \\
\mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[u, "\phi"] \arrow[r, "F_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}"'] & \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[u, "\tilde{\phi}"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[ur, "\phi"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

If we pull back the exact sequence (6) to the rational curves and note that $\phi^* f^* = F^*_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}\tilde{\phi}^*$, we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \phi^*\mathscr{D} \longrightarrow \phi^* T_X \longrightarrow F^*_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}(\tilde{\phi}^* T_Y) \longrightarrow F^*_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}(\tilde{\phi}^* g^*\sigma^*\mathscr{D}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (7)$$

Let $\mathscr{Q} = \ker(\alpha)$, i.e.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{Q} \longrightarrow T_Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} g^*\sigma^*\mathscr{D} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (8)$$

It is easy to see that $\phi^*\mathscr{D} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(a_i)$ with $a_i > 0$, and $\phi^* f^*\mathscr{Q} = \mathscr{O}^{n-r}$ (which implies that $\tilde{\phi}^*\mathscr{Q} = \mathscr{O}^{n-r}$) and that $\tilde{\phi}^*(g^*\sigma^*\mathscr{D}) = \sigma^*\phi^*\mathscr{D} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(a_i)$. Then the $\tilde{\phi}$ pullback of sequence (8) becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}^{n-r} \longrightarrow \tilde{\phi}^* T_Y \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}^*\alpha} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(a_i) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (9)$$

From the above exact sequence, it is very easy to see that $\tilde{\phi}$ is a free rational curve on Y and hence Y is separably uniruled.

Lemma 3.3. *In the exact sequence* (9)*, we have either the rank of Pos($\tilde{\phi}^* T_Y$) is greater than r or the sequence is splitting.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& 0 & 0 & & \\
& \mathscr{O}^{n-r'} \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "id"] & \mathscr{O}^{n-r'} \arrow[u] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{O}^{n-r} \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \tilde{\phi}^* T_Y \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \sum_{i=0}^r \mathcal{O}(a_i) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{E} \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pos}(\tilde{\phi}^* T_Y) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \sum_{i=0}^r \mathcal{O}(a_i) \arrow[u, "id"'] \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& 0 \arrow[u] & 0 \arrow[u] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows easily that $\mathscr{E} \cong \mathscr{O}^{r'-r}$ and hence the lemma. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Let X and Y be as above. Then the following are true*
(a) We have exactly one of the following cases

("Trivial case") The variety X is SRC and $Y = X^{(1)}$

("General case") The positive rank of Y is strictly greater than the positive rank of X.

("Splitting case") The variety X is not SRC and the positive rank of Y is equal to the positive rank of X. In this case, the exact sequence (8) *splits canonically on some nonempty open set $\tilde{U}$ that contains a maximally free rational curve.*
(b) If X is FRC then so is Y.

Proof. For (a), we only need to prove that the exact sequence (8) splits canonically in the "Splitting case". The above Lemma (3.3) shows that in the "Splitting case" $\tilde{\phi}^* T_Y$ has the same splitting type as $\phi^* T_X$, for all maximally free rational curves ϕ. Then we know that the pull-back via $\tilde{\phi}$ of the exact sequence (8) has a unique splitting which identifies $\tilde{\phi}^*(g^*\sigma^*\mathscr{D})$ as the subbundle $\tilde{\phi}^*\mathscr{D}_Y$ of $\tilde{\phi}^* T_Y$, where $\mathscr{D}_Y$ is the foliation on Y as constructed in the previous section. We can find an open subset W of $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{free}}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$, such that $(f \circ F)^* T_Y$ splits uniformly on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times W$ where $F : \mathbb{P}^1 \times W \to X$ is the natural morphism. The composition $\mathscr{D}_Y \hookrightarrow T_Y \to g^*\sigma^*\mathscr{D}$ is an isomorphism after pulling back by $f \circ F$, hence itself is an isomorphism by faithfully flat descent. This proves that the exact sequence (8) splits canonically on $\tilde{U}$. To prove (b), we only need to use the fact that $f : X \to Y$ is dominant. □

Proposition (3.4) enables us to repeat the construction of foliation on the quotients and we will get a sequence, which will be called the quotient sequence of X.

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots$$

Corollary 3.5. *If the "splitting case" does not happen for infinitely many times in the above procedure, then there is some $N \geq 1$ such that Y_i is SRC, $Y_{i+1} = Y_i^{(1)}$ and f_i is the relative Frobenius morphism, for all $i \geq N$.*

Proof. This is because the positive rank of Y_i is bounded above by $n = \dim(X)$. □

4 The Formal Neighborhood

In this section, we assume that the characteristic of k is p. let X/k be a separably uniruled variety. We have the quotient sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \tag{10}$$

We want to relate the "splitting case" to the formal neighborhood of a free rational curve on X. Before doing that, we state some basic facts that are true in any characteristic.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $i : Z \to Y$ be a closed immersion of a smooth variety Z/k into another smooth variety Y/k. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the ideal sheaf defining Z in Y. Then*
(i) The sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2 \longrightarrow i^*\Omega_{Y/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Z/k} \longrightarrow 0$ is exact and locally splitting.
(ii) The sheaf $\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2$ is a locally free sheaf on Z whose rank is $\dim(Y) - \dim(Z)$.
(iii) As a sheaf on Z, $\mathscr{I}$ locally generated by a regular sequence of length $\dim(Y) - \dim(Z)$; in particular, Z is a local complete intersection in Y.
(iv) The sheaf $i^*\mathscr{I}^d = \mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1}$ is canonically isomorphic to $Sym^d(\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2)$, the d^{th} symmetric power of $\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2$, for $d \geq 1$.

Proof. These facts are standard.

Now let $f : X \to Y = Y_1$ be the quotient by $\mathscr{D}$ and ϕ be a maximally free rational curve on X with the induced one on Y being $\tilde{\phi}$ as before. Then we have

Lemma 4.2. *If $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ is a closed immersion, then so is $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to Y$.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & X^{(1)} \\
\mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[u, "\phi"] \arrow[r, "F"] & \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[u, "\tilde{\phi}"] \arrow[ur, "\phi^{(1)}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By assumption, both ϕ and $\phi^{(1)}$ are closed immersions. It is easy to check that $\tilde{\phi}$ is also a closed immersion. □

Notation and assumptions: Now consider the following situation

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & X^{(1)} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & X \\
\mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[u, "\phi"] \arrow[r, "F_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}"'] & \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[u, "\tilde{\phi}"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[ur, "\phi"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{11}$$

where $X \to Y$ is the quotient by $\mathscr{D}$ and ϕ is a maximally free rational curve on X. Assume that ϕ is a closed immersion, then so is $\tilde{\phi}$ by the above lemma. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the ideal sheaf defining ϕ and $\tilde{\mathscr{I}}$ be the ideal sheaf defining $\tilde{\phi}$.

Lemma 4.3. *In the "splitting case" we have* $\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2 \cong \mathscr{O}^{n-r} \oplus \mathscr{N}$ *and* $\tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2 \cong \mathscr{O}^{n-r} \oplus \tilde{\mathscr{N}}$ *where both* $\mathscr{N}$ *and* $\tilde{\mathscr{N}}$ *are direct sums of line bundles of negative degree.*

Proof. This follows from the short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2 \arrow[r] & \phi^*\Omega_X \arrow[r] & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the corresponding one for $\tilde{\phi}$. □

Note that ϕ and $\tilde{\phi}$ have the same underlying topological space, which will be denoted by $\mathbb{P}^1$ by abuse of notation. Since in the diagram (11) the relative Frobenius morphism $F_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}$ induces an injective ring homomorphism, $F^*_{\mathbb{P}^1/k} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \to (F_{\mathbb{P}^1/k})_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, on the structure sheaves and the functions on Y are exactly the functions on X that are killed by $\mathscr{D}$, it follows that the sheaf $\tilde{\mathscr{I}}$ consists of elements of the sheaf $\mathscr{I}$ that are killed by $\mathscr{D}$. Hence we have a natural morphism of abelian sheaves $\theta : \tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2 \to \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2$. Note that $F^*_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}\tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y$ and we have a natural sheaf homomorphism $1 \otimes id : \tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y \to F^*_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}\tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y$. Let ϑ be the composition:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y \arrow[r, "1\otimes id"] & F^*_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}\tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y \arrow[r, "\phi^*(df)^\vee"] & \phi^*\Omega_X
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 4.4. *Notation as above, we have:*
(i) The following diagram, as abelian sheaves on the underlying topological space of $\mathbb{P}^1$*, is commutative.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2 \arrow[r] & \phi^*\Omega_X \arrow[r] & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2 \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\theta"] & \tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\vartheta"] & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "0"] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{12}$$

(ii) The map $H^1(\theta) : H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2) \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2)$ is 0.
(iii) Let $\theta_d : \tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1} \to \mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1}$ be the natural morphism, then $H^1(\theta_d) : H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1}) \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1})$ is 0.

Proof. (i) is direct checking of the definitions of the sheaf homomorphisms involved. To prove (ii), we note that the diagram factors as the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2 \arrow[r] & \phi^*\Omega_X \arrow[r] & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F^*(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\tilde{\theta}"'] & F^*\tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\phi^*(df)^\vee"] & F^*\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "dF=0"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2 \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $F = F_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}$. Hence, we only need to show that $\mathrm{H}^1(\tilde{\theta}) = 0$. To do this, we consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \phi^*\mathscr{D}^\vee \arrow[u] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2 \arrow[r, "\lambda"] & \phi^*\Omega_X \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F^*(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2) \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[u, "\tilde{\theta}"'] & F^*\tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\phi^*(df)^\vee"] \arrow[ul, dotted, "\tau"'] & F^*\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "dF=0"] & 0 \\
 & & F^*_{\mathrm{abs}}\phi^*\mathscr{D}^\vee \arrow[u] & & \\
 & & 0 \arrow[u] & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{13}$$

where the existence of τ is guaranteed by the fact that $dF = 0$ and the resulting diagram is commutative. It is easy to see from the above diagram that τ factors through

$$\mathrm{coker}(F^*_{\mathrm{abs}}\phi^*\mathscr{D}^\vee \to F^*\tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y) = \phi^*f^*\mathscr{Q}^\vee = \mathcal{O}^{n-r}$$

This means that τ factors as $F^*\tilde{\phi}^*\Omega_Y \to \mathcal{O}^{n-r} \to \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2$. In particular, this implies that $\tilde{\theta}$ factors as $F^*(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2) \to \mathcal{O}^{n-r} \to \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2$ and hence $\mathrm{H}^1(\tilde{\theta}) = 0$. For (iii), first we factorize θ_d as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1} \arrow[r] & F^*(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1}) \arrow[r, "\tilde{\theta}_d"] & \mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and we only need to show that $\mathrm{H}^1(\tilde{\theta}_d) = 0$. To do this, we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^*(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1}) \arrow[rr, "\tilde{\theta}_d"] & & \mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1} \\
Sym^d(F^*(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2)) \arrow[u] \arrow[rr, "Sym^d(\tilde{\theta})"] \arrow[dr] & & Sym^d(\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2) \arrow[u] \\
& Sym^d(\mathcal{O}^{n-r}) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the vertical arrows are isomorphisms and $Sym^d(\tilde{\theta})$ factors through $Sym^d(\mathcal{O}^{n-r})$, we get $\mathrm{H}^1(\tilde{\theta}_d) = 0$. □

Lemma 4.5. *Notation and assumptions as above. Let* $\mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d \to \mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^d$ *be the natural morphism of abelian sheaves on the underlying topological space of* $\mathbb{P}^1$ *which induces the maps* $\alpha_d : H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^d)$*. Then* $\alpha_d(a)$ *lifts to* $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^{d+1})$ *for all* $a \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d)$*.*

Proof. This is a direct application of Lemma(4.4). Consider the following short exact sequences of abelian sheaves.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^{d+1} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^d \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\theta_d"'] & \mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{14}$$

The associated long exact sequences are

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^{d+1}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^d) \arrow[r, "\delta_d"] & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1}) \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "H^0(Sym^d(\theta))"'] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\alpha_{d+1}"'] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d) \arrow[r, "\tilde{\delta}_d"] \arrow[u, "\alpha_d"'] & \mathrm{H}^1(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1}) \arrow[u, "\mathrm{H}^1(\theta_d)=0"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{15}$$

The result is easy diagram chasing. □

Lemma 4.6. *Notation as in the previous lemma and we further assume that* $X \to Y$ *is of "splitting case". If* α_d *is surjective (resp. isomorphism) and* $\tilde{\delta}_d = 0$ *in the diagram* (15) *then* α_{d+1} *is also surjective (resp. isomorphism) and* $\delta_d = 0$.

Proof. First we claim that in the splitting case $H^0(Sym^d(\theta))$ is an isomorphism for all $d \geq 1$. By Lemma (4.3), we have $\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2 \cong \mathcal{O}^{n-r} \oplus \mathscr{N}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2 \cong \mathcal{O}^{n-r} \oplus \tilde{\mathscr{N}}$ where $\mathscr{N}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{N}}$ are direct sums of line bundles of negative degrees. From the diagram (13) we see that the sheaf homomorphism θ maps $\mathcal{O}^{n-r} \subset \tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2$ isomorphically to $\mathcal{O}^{n-r} \subset F_*(\mathcal{O}^{n-r}) \subset F_*(\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2)$ and is zero on $\tilde{\mathscr{N}}$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1} \cong Sym^d(\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2) \cong Sym^d(\mathcal{O}^{n-r}) \oplus \mathscr{N}_d$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1} \cong Sym^d(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^2) \cong Sym^d(\mathcal{O}^{n-r}) \oplus \tilde{\mathscr{N}_d}$ where $\mathscr{N}_d$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{N}_d}$ are direct sums of line bundles of negative degrees. Hence the sheaf homomorphism $Sym^d(\theta)$ factors as $\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^d/\tilde{\mathscr{I}}^{d+1} \twoheadrightarrow Sym^d(\mathcal{O}^{n-r}) \hookrightarrow F_*(Sym^d(\mathcal{O}^{n-r})) \subset F_*(\mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1})$. Note that here we identify a sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $F_*(\mathscr{F})$. Then it is easy to see that $H^0(Sym^d(\theta))$ is an isomorphism for all $d \geq 1$. Then the Lemma is an easy diagram chasing in (15). $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.7. *Let* X/k *be an* n *dimensional uniruled algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field* k *of characteristic* $p > 0$. *Assume that the quotient sequence* (10) *of* X *has "splitting case" in each step. Let* $\phi = \phi_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ *be a maximally free rational curve on* X*, which is a closed immersion, and* ϕ_i *be the induced one on* Y_i. *Let* $\mathfrak{X} = X_{/\mathbb{P}^1}$ *be the formal neighborhood of* ϕ *in* X *and* $\mathfrak{Y}_i = Y_{i/\mathbb{P}^1}$ *be the formal neighborhood of* ϕ_i *in* Y_i. *Then*
(a)$\Gamma(\mathfrak{Y}_{i+1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}_{i+1}}) \to \Gamma(\mathfrak{Y}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}_i})$ *is an isomorphism for all* $i \geq 0$*, where* $\mathfrak{Y}_0 = \mathfrak{X}$.
(b)$\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) \cong k[[t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r}]]$ *is a formal power series ring of* $n-r$ *variables, where* r *is the positive rank of* X.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{I}_i$ be the ideal sheaf on Y_i that defines ϕ_i as a closed subvariety of Y_i, for $i = 0, 1, \cdots$, here $Y_0 = X$ and $\phi_0 = \phi$; we also write $\mathscr{I}_0$ as $\mathscr{I}$. Our first claim is that $\pi_{i,d} : \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}/\mathscr{I}_i^{d+1}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{i-1}}/\mathscr{I}_{i-1}^{d+1})$ is isomorphism for all $i \geq 1$, $d \geq 0$. To prove this, we let $\delta_{i,d} : \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}/\mathscr{I}_i^d) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{I}_i^d/\mathscr{I}_i^{d+1})$ be the connection map and let $\rho_{i,d} : \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}/\mathscr{I}_i^{d+1}) \to$

$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}/\mathscr{I}_i^d)$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_{i-1}}/\mathscr{I}_{i-1}^d) & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}/\mathscr{I}_i^d) \arrow[l, "\pi_{i,d-1}"'] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_{i+1}}/\mathscr{I}_{i+1}^d) \arrow[l, "\pi_{i+1,d-1}"'] \\
\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_{i-1}}/\mathscr{I}_i^{d+1}) \arrow[u, "\rho_{i-1,d}"'] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}/\mathscr{I}_i^{d+1}) \arrow[l, "\pi_{i,d}"'] \arrow[u, "\rho_{i,d}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

If both $\pi_{i,d-1}$ and $\pi_{i+1,d-1}$ are isomorphisms, then so is $\pi_{i,d}$. To see this, note that surjectivity of $\pi_{i+1,d-1}$ implies that $\delta_{i,d} = 0$ by Lemma(4.5); and then we get that $\pi_{i,d}$ is isomorphism by Lemma(4.6). Now, we can easily see that $\pi_{i,0} : \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}/\mathscr{I}_i) \cong k \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{i-1}}/\mathscr{I}_{i-1}) \cong k$ is isomorphism for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots$, hence all the $\pi_{i,d}$'s are isomorphisms and all the $\delta_{i,d}$'s are 0. This proves (a). To prove (b), we first note that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2)$ is an $n - r$ dimensional k-vector space, say $\mathrm{Span}_k\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n-r}\}$, and it is a subspace of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^2)$. Each α_i lifts to a formal element $\hat{\alpha}_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ and this defines a ring homomorphism

$$\psi : k[[t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r}]] \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}), \quad t_i \mapsto \hat{\alpha}_i.$$

To prove that ψ is an isomorphism, we consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^{d+1}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathscr{I}^d) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \dfrac{(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r})^d}{(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r})^{d+1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\lambda_d"'] & \dfrac{k[[t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r}]]}{(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r})^{d+1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\psi_{d+1}"'] & \dfrac{k[[t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r}]]}{(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r})^d} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\psi_d"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

and note that λ_d factors through

$$\frac{(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r})^d}{(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r})^{d+1}} \to Sym^d(\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(Sym^d(\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}^d/\mathscr{I}^{d+1})$$

and all these are isomorphisms and hence so is λ_d. Then we prove that ψ_d is isomorphism for all d by induction since ψ_1 is isomorphism. This shows that ψ is an isomorphism. □

Remark: This theorem shows that there is a morphism of formal schemes $\psi^a : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathrm{Spf}(k[[t_1, \ldots, t_{n-r}]])$. Then a natural question is: Can we make this ψ^a algebraic? The author expects that there is an étale neighborhood U of $\mathbb{P}^1$ in X, i.e.

$$\begin{tikzcd}\mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[r] & U \arrow[r] & X\end{tikzcd}$$

such that, there is a morphism $U \to \mathbb{A}^{n-r}$ that induces ψ^a. This is in the spirit of Artin's approximation theorem, c.f.[Art] [CdJ].

5 Proof of Main Theorem

In this section we prove our main theorem and give an application to the Graber-Harris-Starr type theorem.

Theorem 5.1. ***(Main Theorem)*** *Let X/k be a quasi-projective variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume that X is freely rationally connected. Then there is a separably rationally connected variety Y and a finite purely inseparable morphism $f : X \to Y$. If X is regular in codimension 1 (or normal), then so is Y.*

Proof. We want to produce the variety Y by repeating taking quotients by the foliation; By Lemma(3.5), we will get a separably rationally connected variety after finitely many steps if the "splitting case" does not appear infinitely many times. Then the regularity in codimension 1 (or normality) of Y follows from Corollary (3.2). So the proof of the main theorem reduces to the following

Claim: *Let X be a separably uniruled variety over k. If the quotient sequence* (10) *has "splitting case" in each step, then X is not freely rationally connected.*

By contradiction, we assume that X is FRC, i.e., there exists a family of maximally free rational curves $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times W \to X$ such that $\varphi^{(2)} : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times W \to X^{(2)} = X \times X$ is dominant. First, we put the extra assumption that each rational curve in this family is a closed immersion. The fact that $\varphi^{(2)}$ being dominant implies that there is a family of maximally free rational curves $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times W_0 \to X$ such that φ_0 is dominant and $\varphi_0(0 \times W_0) = x_0 \in X(k)$. Actually, we can just consider the following morphism h

$$\begin{tikzcd}h : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2 \times W \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times W \arrow[r, "\varphi^{(2)}"] & X^{(2)}\end{tikzcd}$$

with $h : (t, g, [\phi]) \mapsto (\phi(g(0)), \phi(t))$. Take $\tilde{W}_0$ to be $h^{-1}(x_0 \times X)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{W}_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "h_0"] & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2 \times W \arrow[d, "h"] \\
x_0 \times X \arrow[r] & X \times X
\end{tikzcd}$$

By definition, h is dominant and hence h_0 is also dominant if we choose $x_0 \in X$ general enough. Then the following morphism is also dominant

$$\tilde{h}_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \tilde{W}_0 \to X, \quad (s, (t, g, [\phi])) \mapsto \phi(g(s)).$$

By construction, we have $\tilde{h}_0(0 \times \tilde{W}_0) = x_0$. Then we choose W_0 to be some component of $\tilde{W}_0$ and get a dominant morphism $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times W_0 \to X$ such that $\varphi_0(0 \times W_0) = x_0 \in X(k)$. We denote $\mathbb{P}^1 \times W_0$ by Z. Since φ_0 is dominant, there is a closed point $z = (u, [\phi]) \in Z$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ and hence $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,z}$, where $x = \varphi_0(z)$. Let $\mathfrak{X} = X_{/\phi(\mathbb{P}^1)}$ and $\mathfrak{Z} = Z_{/\mathbb{P}^1 \times [\phi]}$. Then we have an induced morphism of formal schemes $\psi : \mathfrak{Z} \to \mathfrak{X}$. By Theorem(4.7), we have $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) = k[[t_1, \cdots, t_{n-r}]]$ and it is easy to see that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}}) = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{W_0,[\phi]}$. Now consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})) \arrow[r, "\psi^*"] \arrow[d, "r_1"] & \Gamma(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}}) \arrow[d, "r_2"] & \\
\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \arrow[r, "\psi_z^*"] & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,z} \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{P}^1,u} \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{W_0,[\phi]}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{16}$$

where the vertical maps are injective and ψ_z^* is also injective. So ψ^* must be injective. But we can show that $\psi^*(t_i) = 0$. Indeed, the following factorization

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{Spec}(k) \arrow[dr, "x_0"] & \\
0 \times W_0 \arrow[ur] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1 \times W_0 \arrow[r] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

gives a factorization of $r_2 \circ \psi^*$ as follows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & k \arrow[dr] & & & \\
\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) \arrow[ur, "x_0^*"] \arrow[r] & \Gamma(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}}) \arrow[r] & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{0 \times W_0,(0,[\phi])} \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{W_0,[\phi]} \arrow[r] & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,z}
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the above diagram $x_0^*(t_i) = 0$ since $x_0 \in \phi(\mathbb{P}^1)$; this gives a contradiction.

In the case where there is no maximally free rational curve on X that is a closed immersion, we can find some integer $m > 1$, such that there is a maximally free rational curve $\phi = \phi_1 \times \phi_2 \times \ldots \times \phi_m$ on $X^{(m)} = X \times \cdots \times X$ which is a closed immersion, where each ϕ_i is a maximally free rational curve on X for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. It is also easy to see that all maximally free rational curves on $X^{(m)}$ are of the above form. Let $\mathscr{D}^{(m)} := p_1^*\mathscr{D} \oplus \cdots \oplus p_m^*\mathscr{D}$. Since $\mathrm{Pos}(\phi^*(T_{X^{(m)}})) = \phi^*(\mathscr{D}^{(m)})$ for all maximally free rational curves ϕ on $X^{(m)}$ and $\mathscr{D}^{(m)} \subset T_{X^{(m)}}$ is saturated, we see that the canonical foliation on $X^{(m)}$ is exactly $\mathscr{D}^{(m)}$. If Y is the quotient of X by $\mathscr{D}$, then $Y^{(m)}$ is the quotient of $X^{(m)}$. The quotient sequence of X terminates with an SRC variety if and only if the quotient sequence of $X^{(m)}$ does. Under the assumption that the quotient sequence of X has "splitting case" in each step, we get that the quotient sequence of $X^{(m)}$ has "splitting case" in each step. Thus we know that $X^{(m)}$ is not FRC, hence X is not FRC. This proves the the claim and hence the main theorem. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the following application of the main theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to B$ be a proper flat family over a smooth curve B, here everything is over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p. Assume that the geometric generic fiber of $\mathscr{X} \to B$ is normal and freely rationally connected. Then there is a morphism $s : B \to \mathscr{X}$ such that $\pi \circ s = F_{abs,B}^d$ for some $d \geq 0$, where $F_{abs,B} : B \to B$ is the absolute Frobenius morphism.*

Proof. We may shrink B and assume that B is affine. Let η be the generic point of B.

Claim: *As a variety over k, $\mathscr{X}$ is separably uniruled.*
Indeed, let $\phi_{\bar{\eta}} : \mathbb{P}^1_{\bar{\eta}} \to \mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$ be a maximally free rational curve on the geometric generic fiber. Assume that $\phi_{\bar{\eta}}^*(T_{\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}}) = \mathcal{O}^{n-r} \bigoplus(\oplus\mathcal{O}(a_i))$ with $a_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Then there is a smooth curve C/B such that $\phi_{\bar{\eta}}$ is actually defined over C and $\phi_C^*(T_{\mathscr{X}_{C}/C})$ splits uniformly as $\mathcal{O}^{n-r} \bigoplus(\oplus\mathcal{O}(a_i))$. Namely,

we then have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{P}^1_{\bar{\eta}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi_{\bar{\eta}}"] & \mathbb{P}^1_C \arrow[d, "\phi_C"] & \\
\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathscr{X}_C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi_C"] & \mathscr{X} \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
\bar{\eta} \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

By shrinking C, we may also assume that the image of ϕ_C is in $(\mathscr{X}/B)^{sm} \times_B C$. Base change to a closed point $c \in C(k)$, we get a free rational curve $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathscr{X}_b$ where $b \in B(k)$ is the image of c. View ϕ as a rational curve on $\mathscr{X}$ and by construction we have the image of ϕ in the smooth locus of $\mathscr{X} \to B$. Then we apply the following short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \phi^*(T_{\mathscr{X}_b}) \arrow[r] & \phi^*(T_{\mathscr{X}/k}) \arrow[r] & \phi^*\pi^*(T_{B/k}) = \mathcal{O} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\phi^*(T_{\mathscr{X}_b})$ is globally generated, $\phi^*(T_{\mathscr{X}/k})$ must be globally generated.

Let $\mathscr{D}$ be the canonical foliation on $\mathscr{X}$. By construction, $\mathscr{D}$ is a submodule of $T_{\mathscr{X}/B}$. Then $\mathscr{D}$ induces a foliation $\mathscr{D}_{\bar{\eta}} \subset T_{\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}}$. Since a free rational curve on $\mathscr{X}$ is unobstructed and moves to nearby fibres, we have $\mathrm{Pos}(\phi_{\bar{\eta}}^* T_{\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}}) = \phi_{\bar{\eta}}^* \mathscr{D}_{\bar{\eta}}$ for a maximally free rational curve $\phi_{\bar{\eta}}$ on $\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$. Since $\mathscr{D}_{\bar{\eta}} \subset T_{\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}}$ is saturated, we know that $\mathscr{D}_{\bar{\eta}}$ is the canonical foliation on $\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$.

By the Claim, we can construct the quotient sequence of $\mathscr{X}$

$$\mathscr{X} = \mathscr{Y}_0 \xrightarrow{f=f_0} \mathscr{Y}_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathscr{Y}_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \mathscr{Y}_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \tag{17}$$

Let $\mathscr{D}_i \hookrightarrow T_{\mathscr{Y}_i}$ be the corresponding foliations. Note that all the $\mathscr{D}_i$ are in vertical direction and hence f_i are all defined over B. If we base change the quotient sequence (17) of $\mathscr{X}$ to the geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}$ of B, we get exactly the quotient sequence of $\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$. Since $\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$ is FRC, our main theorem says that $\mathscr{Y}_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ will eventually be an SRC normal variety. Take $\mathscr{Y} = \mathscr{Y}_i$, where i is large enough. Then we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{X} \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \mathscr{Y} \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}"'] & \mathscr{X}^{(d)} \arrow[r, "\sigma^{(d)}"] \arrow[dl, "\pi^{(d)}"] & \mathscr{X} \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
B \arrow[r, "="] & B \arrow[rr, "F^d_{\mathrm{abs},B}"'] & & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\tilde{\pi}$ has normal SRC geometric generic fiber. By [dJSt], we can find a section of $\tilde{\pi}$, say $\tilde{s}$, then we can just take $s = \sigma^{(d)} \circ g \circ \tilde{s}$. $\square$

Corollary 5.3. *Let X/k be a proper normal FRC variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p. Then X is simply connected. Namely, the algebraic fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ is trivial.*

Proof. We have to show that every connected finite Galois cover $\pi : Y \to X$ is trivial. Suppose that π is nontrivial. Since free rational curves on X always lift to free rational curves on Y, we know that Y is also FRC. We can always factor $\pi : Y \to X$ through $\pi' : Y \to X'$ with π' being cyclic Galois cover with Galois group $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\,\mathbb{Z}$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a prime. To get a contradiction, we only need to prove the following
Claim: The action of G on Y has a fixed point.
To prove the claim, we fix an action of G on $B' = \mathbb{P}^1$ in the following way:

- If $\ell \neq p$, then the generator $1 \in G$ acts as $t \mapsto \zeta t$ where ζ is a primitive ℓ^{th} root of unit.
- If $\ell = p$, then the generator $1 \in G$ acts as $t \mapsto t + 1$.

Then we have $B = B'/G \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Since G acts on both Y and B', we get a natural action of G on $Y \times B'$ and let $Z = Y \times B'/G$ be the quotient. Let $f : B' \to B$ and $g : Y \times B' \to Z$ be the corresponding quotient morphisms. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \times B' \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "p_2"'] & Z \arrow[d, "h"] \\
B' \arrow[r, "f"] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

On the open part of $U \subset B$ where G acts freely on $f^{-1}(U)$, the above diagram is a fiber product square. Hence, for a general $b \in B$, we have $Z_b = h^{-1}(b) \cong Y$ which is normal and FRC. By Theorem 5.2, we get $s : B \to Z$ such that $h \circ s = F^d_{abs,B}$ is some power of the absolute Frobenius morphism. Let $C = B' \times_{f,B,h\circ s} B$, and let $\tilde{C} = \mathbb{P}^1$ be the normalization of C. The the action of G on B induces an action on C and hence also an action on $\tilde{C}$. The morphism s induces a G-equivariant morphism $s' : V \to Y \times B'$, where $V \subset C$ is the inverse image of U. The morphism s' induces a G-equivariant morphism $\tilde{s}' : \tilde{C} \to Y \times B'$. Then $\sigma = p_1 \circ \tilde{s}' : \tilde{C} \to Y$ is also G-equivariant. Since $\tilde{C}$ has at least one fixed point x, its image $\sigma(x)$ is a fixed point of Y. $\square$

References

[Art] M. Artin, *Algebraization of formal moduli: I*, in *Global analysis (papers in honor of K. Kodaira)*, Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo (1969), pp.21–71.

[BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron models*, Ergeb. der Math. Grenzgeb., vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[CdJ] B. Conrad and A.J. de Jong, *Approximation of versal deformations*, J. Algebra **255**(2002), No.2, pp.489–515.

[CKa] D.A. Cox and S. Katz, *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*, Mathmatical surveys and monographs, vol. 68, the American Mathematical Societies, 1999.

[Deb] O. Debarre, *Variétés rationnellement connexes*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 2001–2002, exp. n° 905, p. 243–266.

[DM] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **36** (1969), 75–109.

[EGA] J. Dieudonné and A. Grothendieck, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique I, II, III, IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publi. Math. **4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32** (1961–1967).

[Eke] T. Ekedahl, Foliations and inseparable morphisms, in *Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin 1985*, 139–149, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[GHS] T. Graber, J. Harris and J. Starr, *Families of rationally connected varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **16** (2003), 29–55.

[Hart] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, GTM 52, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

[dJSt] A.J. de Jong and J. Starr, *Every rationally connected variety over the function field of a curve has a rational point*, Amer. J. of Math., **125**(2003), 567–580.

[Katz] N. Katz, *Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications of a result of Turrinttin*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **39** (1970), 175-232.

[Kollár] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergeb. der Math. Grenzgeb., vol. 32, Pringer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[LTi] J. Li and G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11**(1998), 119–174.

[Mat] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, translated by M. Reid, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[Miy] Y. Miyaoka, T. Peternell, *Geometry of higer dimensional algebraic varieties*, DMV Seminar **26**, Birkhäuser 1997.

[Ser] E. Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 334, Springer-Verlag, 2006.

Mingmin Shen
Department of Mathematics, Columbia University
mshen@math.columbia.edu